Epineuston vortex recapture enhances thrust in tiny water skaters

Pankaj Rohilla^{*a}, Johnathan N. O'Neil^{*a}, Chandan Bose^b, Victor M. Ortega-Jimenez^c, Daehyun Choi^a, and Saad Bhamla^{†a}

^aSchool of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, USA ^bAerospace Engineering, School of Metallurgy and Materials, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

^cSchool of Biology and Ecology, University of Maine, ME, USA

Vortex recapture underpins the exceptional mobility of nature's finest fliers and swimmers. Utilized by agile fruit flies and efficient jellyfish, this phenomenon is well-documented in bulk fluids. Despite extensive studies on the neuston—a vital fluidic interface where diverse life forms interact between air and water—neuston vortical hydrodynamics remain unexplored. We investigate epineuston (on water) vortical hydrodynamics in *Microvelia americana*, one of the smallest and fastest water striders, skating at 50 BL/s (15 cm/s). Their middle legs shed counter-rotating vortices, re-energized by hind legs, demonstrating epineuston vortex recapture. High-speed imaging, particle imaging velocimetry, physical models, and CFD simulations show re-energization increases thrust by creating positive pressure at the hind tarsi, acting as a virtual wall. This vortex capture is facilitated by the tripod gait, leg morphology, and precise spatio-temporal placement of the hind tarsi during the power stroke. Our study extends vortex recapture principles from bulk fluids to the neuston, offering insights into efficient epineuston locomotion, where surface tension and capillary waves challenge movement. Understanding epineuston vortex hydrodynamics can guide the development of energy-efficient microrobots to explore the planet's neuston niches, critical frontlines of climate change and pollution.

The unseen ballet of vortical forces orchestrates 1 nature's most efficient swimmers and fliers [1-7]. 2 These interactions, fundamental to minimizing en-3 ergy expenditure and maximizing thrust, allow organisms to utilize energy from their own or others' 5 wakes [1, 2, 8-10]. Jellyfish boost thrust by capturing vortices during relaxation, creating high-pressure zones [5, 11]. Fruit flies capture leading-edge vortices during the fling motion, minimizing the energy req quired to generate new vortices [12, 13]. Fish exhibit 10 such efficient wake capture that even dead fish can 11 swim upstream by resonating with oncoming Kármán 12 street vortices [14, 15]. 13

While these examples occur in bulk fluids, the 14 neuston interface — a vital ecological niche — teems 15 with life. From zooplankton, insects, and spiders to 16 17 birds, reptiles, and plants, countless organisms interact at this boundary in marine and freshwater 18 ecosystems [16–27]. Despite the challenges of bal-19 ancing surface tension, drag, buoyancy, and capil-20 lary waves, no documented examples of vortex re-21 capture at this interface exist. Driven by curiosity 22 about neuston vortical interactions, we reveal a vor-23 tex re-energization mechanism in Microvelia ameri-24 cana (Hemiptera, Veliidae). 25

These millimeter-sized water walkers are pineustonic, living on the water surface and are one of the smallest and fastest on this ecological niche ($\mathbf{u}_B \sim 50$ BL/s, Figure 2.d). Part of the 29 infraorder Gerromorpha, they are found in creeks 30 and ponds worldwide and include over 200 species 31 (Figure S1) [28–31]. Unlike most water striders that 32 use elongated middle legs for rowing, Microvelia 33 employ all six legs to walk and run using a tripod 34 gait [16, 17, 32, 33]. Their unique morphology and 35 kinematics enable them to recapture vortices shed 36 from their middle legs, allowing them to speedily 37 skate across the water surface. These amphibious 38 insects, whose ancestors were terrestrial and used a 39 tripod gait for movement on land, evolved to move 40 on water while retaining this gait [16, 34-37]. Using 41 high-speed imaging, particle imaging velocimetry, 42 physical models, and CFD simulations, we describe 43 the epineuston vortex interactions during the water 44 skating behavior of Microvelia. 45

RESULTS

46

Skating on water. Microvelia possess dense hair 47 coverage on their bodies and legs (Figure 1.a) [38]. 48 SEM analysis reveals a tarsal hair density of $\sim 15,000$ 49 hairs/mm² (n = 3), comparable to Velia caprai and 50 Gerridae. [16, 39, 40]. This dense coverage enables 51 *Microvelia* to maintain a Cassie-Baxter state [41], 52 limiting water infiltration and maintaining superhy-53 drophobicity leading to dimples at air-water surface 54 contact points (Figure 1.b). The low Weber num-55 ber, $We = \rho v^2 l / \sigma \ll 1$ (see Table S1) indicates that 56

^{*}Equal contribution

[†]Corresponding author- 🖂 saadb@chbe.gatech.edu



Figure 1: Behaviour and morphology of epineustonic Microvelia americana (a) Dorsal view of Microvelia americana with inset showing a SEM image of the dense hair coverage on middle leg tarsus (pseudo-colored). (b) Microvelia Sp. feeding on a trapped insect in a creek (Brunei), with legs deforming the water surface, forming dimples. (c) Size comparison showing M. americana's small body size relative to commonly found water striders, Gerridae. (d) Alternating tripod gait plot for M. americana locomoting on water surface, showing the gait cycle of each leg performing power (color filled boxes) and recovery strokes (empty boxes). (e) Snapshots showing the side view of M. americana walking on water. (f) Dynamics of M. americana on water, indicating short skating escape-sprints (~ 2 s) and intermittent walking behavior over a time span 5 minutes.

⁵⁷ surface tension forces dominate over inertial forces
⁵⁸ in their interfacial locomotion, similar to other water
⁵⁹ striders like Gerridae [24].

Unlike water striders such as *Gerridae* that use a rowing gait, *Microvelia* employ an alternate tripod gait typical of terrestrial insects. In this gait, at least three legs – the front leg (FL), the contralateral middle leg (ML), and the ipsilateral hind leg (HL) – perform a power stroke on water (Figure 1.d,e), while the other legs recover in air or sometimes on water (SI Video 1).

67

To understand their epineustonic locomotion be-68 havior, we examine their dynamics over a 5-minute 69 period in the lab. During this time *Microvelia* 70 primarily engage in intermittent walking, spending 71 99.6% of the time in this mode. However, they occa-72 sionally sprint as an escape response, skating a dis-73 tance of $\sim 30 \text{ mm}$ in $\sim 2 \text{ seconds}$ (Figure 1.e). The 74 temporal trajectory of the middle and hind legs shows 75 overlapping paths during this skating mode, indicat-76



Figure 2: Epineustonic kinematics of *Microvelia*. (a) Tarsal trajectories of middle and hind legs of *Microvelia*. The solid lines represent the power strokes, while the faded blue and red lines show the recovery strokes. The trajectories illustrate the time spent by the tarsi during movement. (b) Stroke amplitudes of the middle and hind legs (n = 15), illustrated with their tarsal tip trajectories relative to the motion of their respective shoulder joints. The middle legs exhibit larger stroke amplitudes ($\lambda_{ML} \sim 1.54 \pm 0.43$ mm and $\lambda_{MR} \sim 1.59 \pm 0.74$ mm) compared to the hind legs ($\lambda_{HL} \sim 1.25 \pm 0.46$ mm and $\lambda_{HR} \sim 1.17 \pm 0.47$ mm). (c) Stroke frequency (N = 3, n = 15) of the middle and hind tarsi, showing an average stroke frequency of $f \sim 30$ strokes/s. (d) Body speed of *Microvelia* on water and land (styrofoam) in cm/s (left Y axis) and BL/s (body lengths per second, right Y axis). The average maximum body speed on water is ~ 15 cm/s (~ 50 BL/s), compared to ~ 10 cm/s (~ 40 BL/s) on land. (e) Peak tarsi speeds of *Microvelia* on water. The middle legs achieve higher peak linear speeds during power strokes (~ 17 cm/s) compared to the hind legs (~ 14 cm/s). This indicates that the middle legs act as the main hydrodynamic thrust propulsors, with higher acceleration (~ 2500 cm²/s) compared to the hind legs (~ 2000 cm²/s).

⁷⁷ ing interfacial vortical interactions (Figure 2.a).

During the skating mode, the middle legs of Mi-78 crovelia act as the main hydrodynamic thrust propul-79 sors [32, 33]. These legs exhibit a stroke ampli-80 tude 23% larger than the hind legs, while main-81 taining the same stroke frequency (Figure 2.b,c). 82 This larger amplitude allows for greater displace-83 ment with each stroke, enhancing thrust. The mid-84 dle legs also achieve higher peak linear speeds during 85 power strokes, 21% faster than the hind legs (Figure 86 2.e). This increased speed, coupled with greater ac-87 celeration—about 25% higher than that of the hind 88 legs—indicates their dominant role as forceful thrust 89 generators [33]. 90

Epineuston hydrodynamic interactions. Dur-91 ing the power stroke, the middle leg tarsi shed pairs 92 of counter-rotating vortices (Figure 3.a, stage I). 93 These vortices travel downstream, interacting with 94 the hind tarsi, which enter the water at various 95 spatio-temporal locations. The front tarsi generate 96 weak vortices that dissipate without interacting with 97 other tarsi (SI video II). 98

The exact location and timing of the incident hind 99 tarsi relative to the vortices dictate the outcome 100 of these interactions. Favourable interactions re-101 sult in vortex re-energization, increasing the vortices' 102 strength (Figure 3.a, Stage II and III). Body rocking 103 and turning can misalign these interactions, altering 104 the hind legs' angle of attack and leading to vortex 105 annihilation or no interaction (Figure 3.b). Addition-106 ally, if *Microvelia* moves at high speed, its body can 107 pass over the middle leg vortices before the hind legs 108 can interact with them, emphasizing the importance 109 of timing (Figure 3.f). 110

We measure the circulation of vortex pairs gener-111 ated by the middle tarsi during re-energization until 112 they dissipate after hind tarsi interaction. Circula-113 tion, $\Gamma = \int \int_{\infty} \omega dS$, where ω is the vorticity and S 114 is the bounded area, measures the vortices' strength. 115 As the middle leg initiates the power stroke (Figure 116 3.c, point 1), the vortices' circulation increases, peak-117 ing at $\Gamma = 2 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ (t = 71 ms), corresponding to the 118 maximum tarsal speed (22 cm/s, t = 70 ms). The 119 middle leg then decelerates, reducing Γ as the vor-120 tices dissipate (point 3). The hind tarsi then enters 121 the wake, re-energizing the vortices to enhance the 122 circulation to a second, lower peak of $\Gamma = 1.6 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ 123 (t = 88.5 ms) due to a lower hind-tarsal speed of 17 124 cm/s (Figure 3.c, point 4). This cycle ends with the 125 hind tarsi completing their power stroke and dissipat-126 ing the vortices (SI video II, Figure S2). 127

Across 52 instances in 6 specimens, we observe that 60% of the interactions result in re-energization, 27% show no interaction, and the remainder lead to vor-

tex annihilation (Figure 3.e). We compare the nor-131 malized peak circulation before and after their hind 132 tarsal interaction ($\tilde{\Gamma} = \Gamma_2 / \Gamma_1$) with normalized body 133 speed $(\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{u}_B/\mathbf{u}_t)$ and the time interval (Δt) be-134 tween strokes (Figure 3.d). Vortical re-energization 135 primarily occurs when the hind tarsi strike between 136 the middle tarsi vortices with shorter Δt (typically 137 < 6 ms), during initial acceleration phase of the skat-138 ing sprint (Figure 3.f). At higher body speeds, longer 139 Δt , or due to body turning or rocking, the hind tarsi 140 miss the vortices resulting in no interaction. When 141 the hind legs skate across the pair of vortices rather 142 than slaloming between them, the interactions tend 143 to weaken the vortices, leading to vortex annihila-144 tion (Figure 3.d). Collectively, this reinforces that 145 both the hind tarsi's entry position relative to the 146 middle tarsi (angle-of-attack) and the inter-stroke in-147 terval play critical roles in determining the outcome 148 of these interactions. 149

Epineuston vortical recapture increases thrust 150 in *Microvelia*. Reconstructed pressure fields from 151 PIV-measured velocity fields reveal insights into vor-152 tical interactions with the hind tarsi of Microvelia 153 (Figure 4.a). During vortex re-energization, a lo-154 cal pressure gradient forms from upstream to down-155 stream of the hind tarsi, generating the highest rel-156 ative pressure ($\Delta p \sim 5$ Pa). In contrast, vortex an-157 nihilation results in lower relative pressure ($\Delta p \sim 2$ 158 Pa, Figure S3), with cases of no interaction showing 159 similarly low pressure. 160

We calculate the total impulse by integrating the 161 relative pressure over time, $\mathbf{I} = \int_T \Delta p A dt$, where T is 162 the duration of the power stroke and A is the planar 163 area containing the tarsi and its wake (Figure S3). 164 Normalizing the impulse, $\tilde{\mathbf{I}} = \int_T \Delta p dt / (\rho \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{t,n}^2 A)$, iso-165 lates the impact of hind tarsal interaction from tarsal 166 speed. Excluding the impulse from the middle tarsi 167 yields the relative impulse, $\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_r = \tilde{\mathbf{I}}/\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_{middle}$. 168

Our results show that vortex re-energization pro-169 duces a normalized impulse ($\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_r \sim 1.08$), 34% higher 170 than vortex annihilation (~ 0.81) and 15% higher 171 than no interaction (~ 0.94) (Figure 4.b). This in-172 creased impulse results from enhanced fluid entrain-173 ment during re-energization, which raises pressure in 174 the tarsal plane. When hind tarsi step into the cen-175 ter of the vortex pair, they entrain more fluid mass 176 due to the converging flow driven by the vortical mo-177 tion [44], leading to increased pressure and greater 178 thrust. 179

The observed rise in normalized impulse during re-energization illustrates *Microvelia*'s ability to harness energy from its own wake, a phenomenon we call 'Epineuston Vortex Recapture'. Typically, wakes signifies lost energy to the environment. By step-184



Figure 3: Hydrodynamic interactions in *Microvelia*'s epineustonic locomotion. (a) Stages of vortical shedding from the power strokes of the middle tarsus and their subsequent interactions with the hind tarsus. LHS: Flowfield streamlines visualization in Flowtrace [42] and RHS: vorticity field generated in PIVlab [43]. Stage I - Vortices generated during the onset of the power stroke of the middle right tarsus, (II) Hind legs stepping into the vortices shed from the middle left tarsus, (III) re-energized vortices from the hind right tarsus; LHS shows the vorticity field corresponding to the frame on the right. (b) Illustrations represent the three different outcomes of vortical interactions based on the trajectory of the hind and middle tarsi. (c) Representative tarsal velocity profiles of the wortices for the case of vortex re-energization. (d) Effect of normalized body speed (relative to hind tarsi speed) on the circulation ratio of vortices originating from the middle legs pre- and post-interactions with the hind tarsi. (e) Percentage outcomes of the vortical interactions of the vortical interactions within a single run on water in *Microvelia*.

ping into vortices generated by its middle legs during
previous strokes, *Microvelia* harnesses this energy to
increase thrust production by the hind legs. This
mechanism, driven by its tripod gait and interfacial
movement, enables *Microvelia* to effectively generate
thrust at the air-water interface.

Physical models validate inter-stroke interval 191 in epineustonic vortical interactions. To evalu-192 ate the effect of inter-stroke intervals (Δt) on vorti-193 cal interactions, we use a physical model. The model 194 simulates Microvelia's middle and hind tarsi power 195 strokes on water, varying Δt to alter the hind tarsi's 196 angle of attack to the vortices shed by the middle 197 legs. The Reynolds number of the model (~ 18) is 198 within the range of *Microvelia* ($Re \sim 2 - 21$, see Ta-199 ble S1). The first arm generates a counter-rotating 200 vortex dipole, which the second arm interacts with, 201 depending on Δt (Figure 4.c). 202

For large $\Delta t = -116$ ms, the first arm's vortices 203 dissipate before the second arm's entry, resulting in 204 no interaction (SI Video III, Figure S4). Reducing the 205 interval allows for re-energization, with the second 206 arm's vortices showing higher normalized circulation 207 $(\Gamma > 1)$ (Figure 4.d). However, at very short inter-208 vals $(-10 < \Delta t < 10 \text{ ms})$, capillary waves generated 209 by the arms disrupt the vortices, leading to annihila-210 tion. The normalized circulation (Γ) compares both 211 Microvelia and the physical model, revealing that op-212 timal inter-stroke intervals enhance re-energization 213 and thrust (Figures S4, S5). Vortical re-energization 214 in *Microvelia* doesn't always imply $\Gamma > 1$ due to re-215 duced hind legs' tarsal speed, imparting less energy 216 to the vortices (Figure 2.e). 217

CFD analysis of thrust enhancement dur-218 ing vortex re-energization. We simulate thrust 219 enhancement through vortex capture using 2D CFD 220 models of high aspect ratio (AR = 20) rectangular 221 plates undergoing prescribed rotation and transla-222 tion. Mimicking the physical model configuration, 223 the first plate rotates counterclockwise, and the sec-224 ond rotates clockwise, starting with a time gap (Δt) , 225 traversing the first plate's vortical wake (SI Video 226 4, Figure S5). These simulations evaluate the role of 227 vortex re-energization on thrust via robotic arms' tra-228 jectory rather than mimicking the precise kinematics 229 of Microvelia. 230

Streamline analysis shows differences in flow ve-231 locity magnitudes for different Δt intervals (Figure 232 4.e). For $\Delta t = 0.2$ s, the second plate captures 233 the first plate's wake, entering its recirculation region 234 closely (SI video 4). As a result, the vortex cores with 235 the same sense of rotation from both plates co-align 236 to increase the resultant circulation, augmenting the 237 propulsive force. In contrast, for $\Delta t = 0.5$ s, the sec-238

ond plate fails to interact effectively, leading to vortex 239 annihilation with lower flow velocities and thrust due 240 to the absence of effective wake capture. 241

To reinforce our findings, we present the tempo-242 ral evolution of the coefficient of thrust (C_T) for the 243 second plate, showing vortical re-energization, com-244 pared to no interaction (Figure 4.f). The C_T , defined 245 as $2T/(\rho_f \mathbf{u_r}^2 A)$, where T is the thrust force, ρ_f is the 246 fluid density, $\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{r}}$ is the relative linear velocity, and A 247 is the plate's projected area, illustrates the influence 248 of interaction on vorticity fields at different times. 249

For $\Delta t = 0.2$ s, C_T peaks at t = 1.2 s as vortex 250 dipoles from both plates interact, augmenting circu-251 lation. As the plates separate, C_T decreases, show-252 ing reduced wake interaction. Thrust enhancement 253 via wake capture correlates with changes in fluid im-254 pulse, influenced by circulation and vortex core ve-255 locities. This unsteady flow situation is consistent 256 with pressure data from *Microvelia* and the physical 257 model (Figure 4.a,b), where optimal stroke timing 258 increases entrainment and thrust, demonstrating en-259 hanced thrust through vortex re-energization. 260

Conclusions and Outlook

261

Our findings illuminate vortical interactions within 262 the neuston, the dynamic water-air boundary that 263 supports diverse life forms. Microvelia, among one 264 of the smallest and fastest epineustonic animals, cre-265 ate nearly 2D vortices due to their minute size and 266 weight, forming shallow dimples on the water sur-267 face [45, 46]. Their alternating tripod gait, inherited 268 from terrestrial ancestors, enables versatile movement 269 across water, land, and duckweed [17, 32, 47]. 270

Although less energy-efficient than rowing gait, the 271 alternatig tripod gait excels in amphibious locomo-272 tion, providing *Microvelia* with a strategic advantage 273 in foraging and evading predators [16, 24, 46]. This 274 gait and leg proportions faciliate epineustron vortex 275 recapture combination, where hind leg tarsi boost the 276 circulation and fluid entrainment of vortices shed by 277 middle legs. This re-energization creates a positive 278 pressure at the hind tarsi, acting as a virtual wall 279 that augments thrust [46]. In other genera such as 280 Mesovelia, longer middle legs prevent effective vortex 281 recapture, underscoring the critical role of leg size in 282 this mechanism (Figure S7). 283

Epineuston vortex interactions hinge on the spatial location, angle of attack, and trajectory of hind leg tarsi, determining whether vortices are re-energized, annihilated, or minimally interacted with. Our robotic arm physical model and CFD simulations reinforce the impact of inter-stroke intervals on these interactions. The data indicate that optimal timing



Figure 4: Quantifying epineuston vortical interactions through physical models and CFD analysis. (a) Temporal evolution of relative pressure (ΔP) and tarsal speed (v_t) of the hind leg. No-interaction and annihilation cases represent the the hind left tarsi, while re-energization corresponds to the hind right tarsi. (b) Normalized impulse for different types of vortical interaction. The semi-violin plot shows the distribution of the data as a jitter plot, while the box and whisker plot represent the median and the four quartiles (25%, 50%, 75%, and 100%) for *Microvelia* specimens (N=3) and strokes (n = 12). (c) Temporal evolution of the vortex circulation Γ for each robotic arm with varying Δt showing different vortical interaction outcomes. (d) Regime map of normalized circulation (Γ_2/Γ_1) for varying Δt . Γ_1 and Γ_2 represent peak circulation from the middle leg (or first arm) and hind leg (or second arm), respectively (N=7, n=53). (e) CFD results showing effect of the time interval between plate movements (in quiescent fluid) on vortical interactions depicted by velocity magnitude contours. The second plate starts moving at t = 0 s with $\Delta t = 0.2$ s for re-energization and $\Delta t = 0.5$ s for annihilation. In snapshots at t = 1s, arrows indicates the enhanced and reduced velocity field due to wake capture and wake annihilation respectively. (f) Temporal evolution of the coefficient of thrust (C_T) of the second plate for re-energization and no interaction. Snapshots show the interaction's impact on instantaneous vorticity fields at different times.

and positioning of leg strokes enhance thrust through
vortex re-energization, offering new insights into fluid
dynamics at the air-water interface. Exploring microvelia juvenile nymphs, multiphase CFD simulations, and turbulent flow regimes will further deepen
our understanding of these interactions.

By uncovering the physics behind the vortical recapture in *Microvelia*, we extend similar mechanisms observed in jellyfish and fruit flies to the neuston [5, 11, 12]. Epineuston vortex recapture could inspire the development of efficient water-skating devices and amphibious robots, enhancing our exploration of the oceanic and freshwater neuston niches [26].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

304

317

The authors thank the members of the Bhamla Lab 305 for their feedback and useful discussions. MSB ac-306 knowledges funding support from the NSF Grants 307 CAREER 1941933 and PHY-2310691, and gift fund-308 ing from the Open Philanthropy Project. PR ac-309 knowledges the funding support from the Eckert 310 Postdoctoral Fellowship, Georgia Tech. J.O. ac-311 knowledges funding support from the GT UCEM fel-312 lowship program and the Herbert P. Haley fellowship 313 program. 314

315 COMPETING INTERESTS

³¹⁶ The authors declare no competing interests.

REFERENCES

- John O Dabiri. Optimal vortex formation as a unifying principle in biological propulsion. Annual review of fluid mechanics, 41:17–33, 2009.
- [2] PF Linden and JS Turner. 'Optimal' vortex rings and aquatic propulsion mechanisms. *Proceedings* of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences, 271(1539):647–653, 2004.
- [3] Erik J Anderson and M Edwin DeMont. The mechanics of locomotion in the squid loligo pealei: locomotory function and unsteady hydrodynamics of the jet and intramantle pressure. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 203(18):2851–2863, 2000.
- [4] Michael S Triantafyllou, GS Triantafyllou, and DKP Yue. Hydrodynamics of fishlike swimming.
 Annual review of fluid mechanics, 32(1):33–53, 2000.
- [5] John H Costello, Sean P Colin, John O Dabiri,
 Brad J Gemmell, Kelsey N Lucas, and Kelly R

Sutherland. The hydrodynamics of jellyfish swimming. Annual Review of Marine Science, 13:375–396, 2021.

- [6] Iztok Lebar Bajec and Frank H Heppner. Organized flight in birds. Animal Behaviour, 341 78(4):777-789, 2009. 342
- John Roger Speakman and D Banks. The function of flight formations in greylag geese anser anser; energy saving or orientation? *International Journal of Avian Science*, 140(2):280–287, 1998.
- [8] Hao Liu, Shizhao Wang, and Tianshu Liu. Vortices and forces in biological flight: Insects, 349 birds, and bats. Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics, 56:147–170, 2024. 351
- You-Jun Lin, Sheng-Kai Chang, Yu-Hsiang Lai, and Jing-Tang Yang. Beneficial wake-capture effect for forward propulsion with a restrained wing-pitch motion of a butterfly. *Royal Society* open science, 8(8):202172, 2021.
- [10] Nils B Tack, Kevin T Du Clos, and Brad J Gemmell. Fish can use coordinated fin motions to recapture their own vortex wake energy. *Royal Society Open Science*, 11(1):231265, 2024.
- Brad J Gemmell, Sean P Colin, and John H
 Costello. Widespread utilization of passive energy recapture in swimming medusae. Journal of Experimental Biology, 221(1):jeb168575, 2018.
- [12] Fritz-Olaf Lehmann, Hao Wang, and Thomas
 Engels. Vortex trapping recaptures energy in flying fruit flies. *Scientific Reports*, 11(1):6992, 2021.
- Michael H Dickinson, Fritz-Olaf Lehmann, and Sanjay P Sane. Wing rotation and the aerodynamic basis of insect flight. Science, 371 284(5422):1954–1960, 1999.
- [14] David N Beal, Franz S Hover, Michael S Triantafyllou, James C Liao, and George V Lauder.
 Passive propulsion in vortex wakes. *Journal of fluid mechanics*, 549:385–402, 2006.
- [15] Liang Li, Máté Nagy, Jacob M Graving, Joseph
 Bak-Coleman, Guangming Xie, and Iain D
 Couzin. Vortex phase matching as a strategy
 for schooling in robots and in fish. Nature com munications, 11(1):5408, 2020.
 381
- [16] N Møller Andersen. A comparative study of locomotion on the water surface in semiaquatic bugs 383

- (insecta, hemiptera, gerromorpha). Vidensk.
 Meddel. Natuirist. Foren. Kjobenhavn, 139:337– 396, 2016.
- [17] Antonin JJ Crumiere, M Emilia Santos, Marie
 Sémon, David Armisén, Felipe FF Moreira, and
 Abderrahman Khila. Diversity in morphology
 and locomotory behavior is associated with niche
 expansion in the semi-aquatic bugs. Current Biology, 26(24):3336–3342, 2016.
- [18] Jeffrey W. Shultz. Walking and Surface Film
 Locomotion in Terrestrial and Semi-Aquatic
 Spiders. Journal of Experimental Biology,
 128(1):427-444, 03 1987.
- ³⁹⁷ [19] S Tonia Hsieh and George V Lauder. Running on water: Three-dimensional force generation by basilisk lizards. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 101(48):16784–16788, 2004.
- 402 [20] Glenna T Clifton, Tyson L Hedrick, and An403 drew A Biewener. Western and clark's grebes
 404 use novel strategies for running on water. *The*405 *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 218(8):1235–
 406 1243, 2015.
- [21] Victor M Ortega-Jimenez, Elio J Challita, Baek-407 gyeom Kim, Hungtang Ko, Minseok Gwon, 408 Je-Sung Koh, and M Saad Bhamla. Di-409 rectional takeoff, aerial righting, and adhe-410 sion landing of semiaquatic springtails. Pro-411 ceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 412 119(46):e2211283119, 2022. 413
- [22] S Wang and AM Ardekani. Swimming of a model
 ciliate near an air-liquid interface. *Physical Re- view E*, 87(6):063010, 2013.
- [23] Jonathan Voise and Jérôme Casas. The management of fluid and wave resistances by whirligig
 beetles. *Journal of The Royal Society Interface*,
 7(43):343–352, 2010.
- [24] David L Hu and John WM Bush. The hydrody namics of water-walking arthropods. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 644:5–33, 2010.
- ⁴²⁴ [25] Zexiang Huang, Hao Yang, Ke Xu, Jianing Wu,
 ⁴²⁵ and Jinxiu Zhang. Collecting differently sized
 ⁴²⁶ particles on water surface by maneuvering pedal
 ⁴²⁷ waves on the foot of the water snail pomacea
 ⁴²⁸ canaliculata. Soft Matter, 18(40):7850–7858,
 ⁴²⁹ 2022.
- ⁴³⁰ [26] Rebecca R Helm. The mysterious ecosys⁴³¹ tem at the ocean's surface. *PLoS Biology*,
 ⁴³² 19(4):e3001046, 2021.

- [27] Lanna Cheng and Himanshu Mishra. Why did 433
 only one genus of insects, halobates, take to the high seas? *PLoS Biology*, 20(4):e3001570, 2022. 435
- [28]Aidamalia Vargas-Lowman, David Armisen, 436 Carla Fernanda Burguez Floriano, Isabelle 437 da Rocha Silva Cordeiro, Séverine Viala, 438 Mathilde Bouchet, Marie Bernard, Augustin 439 Le Bouquin, M Emilia Santos, Alexandra 440 Berlioz-Barbier, et al. Cooption of the pteridine 441 biosynthesis pathway underlies the diversifica-442 tion of embryonic colors in water striders. Pro-443 ceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 444 116(38):19046-19054, 2019. 445
- [29] Matthew R Pintar, Jeffrey L Kline, and Joel C
 Trexler. The aquatic heteroptera (hemiptera) of
 marshes in the florida everglades. *Florida Ento- mologist*, 104(4):307–319, 2021.
- [30] JT Polhemus. Water-striders (hemiptera: Gerridae, veliidae, etc.). Marine insects. Elsevier, 451 Amsterdam, pages 187–224, 1976. 452
- [31] Nils Møller Andersen. Microvelia polhemi, n. 453
 sp. (heteroptera: Veliidae) from dominican amber: The first fossil record of a phytotelmic water strider. Journal of the New York Entomological Society, 107:135–144, 1999. 457
- [32] Johnathan Nathaniel O'Neil, Kai Lauren Yung,
 Gaetano Difini, Holden Walker, and M Saad
 Bhamla. Tiny amphibious insects use tripod gait
 for seamless transition across land, water, and
 duckweed. *bioRxiv*, pages 2024–04, 2024.
- [33] Johnathan Nathaniel O'Neil, Kai Lauren Yung,
 Gaetano Difini, Pankaj Rohilla, and M Saad
 Bhamla. Limb loss and specialized leg dynamics in tiny water-walking insects. *bioRxiv*, pages 2024–04, 2024.
- [34] Nils Møller Andersen. Phylogenetic inference as applied to the study of evolutionary diversification of semiaquatic bugs (hemiptera: Gerromorpha). Systematic Zoology, 28(4):554–578, 1979.
- [35] Miguel Piñeirua, Anna Verbe, and Jérôme 472
 Casas. Substrate-mediated leg interactions play a key role in insect stability on granular slopes. 474
 Physical Review E, 108(1):014903, 2023. 475
- [36] Antoine Humeau, Miguel Piñeirua, Jérôme Crassous, and Jérôme Casas. Locomotion of ants walking up slippery slopes of granular materials. *Integrative Organismal Biology*, 1(1):obz020, 2019.

- [37] Pavan Ramdya, Robin Thandiackal, Raphael
 Cherney, Thibault Asselborn, Richard Benton,
 Auke Jan Ijspeert, and Dario Floreano. Climbing favours the tripod gait over alternative faster
 insect gaits. Nature communications, 8(1):14494,
 2017.
- 487 [38] Lanna Cheng. Marine and freshwater skaters:
 488 differences in surface fine structures. Nature,
 489 242(5393):132–133, 1973.
- [39] Nils Møller Andersen. Fine structure of the body
 hair layers and morphology of the spiracles of
 semiaquatic bugs (insecta, hemiptera, gerromorpha) in relation to life on the water surface. Vidensk. Medd. Dansk Naturhist. Foren., 140:7–37,
 1977.
- [40] Cédric Finet. Amélie Decaras, Maria 496 Rutkowska, Pascale Roux, Samuel Collaudin, 497 Pauline Joncour, Séverine Viala, and Abder-498 rahman Khila. Leg length and bristle density, 499 both necessary for water surface locomotion, 500 are genetically correlated in water striders. Pro-501 ceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 502 119(9):e2119210119, 2022. 503
- [41] ABD Cassie and S Baxter. Wettability of porous
 surfaces. *Transactions of the Faraday society*,
 40:546-551, 1944.
- ⁵⁰⁷ [42] William Gilpin, Vivek N Prakash, and Manu
 ⁵⁰⁸ Prakash. Flowtrace: simple visualization of co⁵⁰⁹ herent structures in biological fluid flows. Jour⁵¹⁰ nal of experimental biology, 220(19):3411–3418,
 ⁵¹¹ 2017.
- [43] William Thielicke and René Sonntag. Particle
 image velocimetry for matlab: Accuracy and enhanced algorithms in pivlab. Journal of Open Research Software, 9(1), 2021.
- [44] Michael Krieg and Kamran Mohseni. Modelling circulation, impulse and kinetic energy of starting jets with non-zero radial velocity. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 719:488–526, 2013.
- [45] Robert B Suter. Spider locomotion on the water
 surface: biomechanics and diversity. *The Jour- nal of Arachnology*, 41(2):93–101, 2013.
- [46] Thomas Steinmann, Antoine Cribellier, and
 Jérôme Casas. Singularity of the water strider
 propulsion mechanisms. *Journal of Fluid Me- chanics*, 915, 2021.

[47] Stephen P Yanoviak and DN Frederick. Water surface locomotion in tropical canopy ants. Journal of Experimental Biology, 217(12):2163–2170, 2014.